about wards and the in relations Method to make judgements about the

Subjects

The target population for this study consisted of thirty fourth graders recruited from two classrooms in an elementary school located in a southeast San Diego suburb. Subjects were selected on the basis of their classroom reading levels. The range of reading achievement for the subjects was first semester, third grade to first semester, fourth grade. Subjects who scored more than an average of 50 percent across four pretests of vocabulary knowledge were eliminated.

The resulting 25 students were divided into three groups (speeded, nonspeeded, control) having 8, 9, and 8 subjects, respectively. The groups had been planned to be equal in size, but differential subject loss due to factors beyond the experimenter's control left the groups unbalanced. Ten subjects were from one classroom and 15 were from the other. The average level of reading achievement for the treatment groups was first semester, third grade and the control group's average was slightly higher at second semester, third grade.

Apparatus and Materials The subjects goal to each version is the same

A microcomputer lexical decision task was designed by the author as a device for teaching vocabulary lists. A detailed description of the task, called "Rescue", is provided in the Appendix. Subjects were told that protecting a space station was their goal which required deciding whether or not approaching space ships were enemies or friends. The instructional aspect of the task required subjects to make decisions

about words and their relations in order to make judgements about the friendliness of each approaching space ship. Once they decide whether or not the ship is friendly, they must press a key either to "Rescue" or destroy the ship, depending on their decision.

station. Their control over the onset of the spaceships flight

There were five possible outcomes for each response in a trial. A <u>correct rejection</u> occurs when the subject shoots the on-coming spaceship and it is a 'true' enemy vessel (i.e., the response is correct). A <u>correct acceptance</u> occurs when the subject rescues a friendly ship. <u>False acceptances</u> occur when subjects attempt to rescue unfriendly ships. Shooting a ship that should have been rescued is considered a <u>false rejections</u>. When subjects could not generate a response before the on-coming vessel collided with the center spacestation, a <u>no response</u> outcome was recorded. These response categories are used to score each of the subjects' responses. Correct responses result in positive scores that are added to an accumulating overall trial score, while incorrect responses decrease the accumulated scores. See the Appendix for a more detailed discussion of scoring.

Two versions of the microcomputer task were developed: <u>speeded</u> (S) and <u>nonspeeded</u> (NS). The subjects' goal in each version is the same, to shoot or save space ships but the speed requirements for lexical decisions varies. In the S version, the spaceship begins to approach the space station at the start of the trial. In the NS version, the beginning of the ships' "attack" is controlled by the subject. In S condition, the subject must decide on the relation between word pairs and respond within approximately 7 seconds. As soon as a response is given in the S version, the score for that response is recorded, and the

next approaching spaceship with its corresponding lexical relations appears. Subjects assigned to the NS condition control when the approach of the ship will take place by striking a particular keyboard character, but once they set it in motion, it also takes 7 seconds to reach the space station. Their control over the onset of the spaceships flight enables NS group subjects to take as much time as they need to determine which response they will give on the basis of the word relations. That is, the lexical decision precedes the on-coming ship's approach, in contrast to the simultaneous onset of the ship and decision time in the Speeded version.

Russed above. Each 10 word list was selected so that each liem

Instructional words. The entire word corpus was divided into 3 list types: taxonomic, thematic, and a mix of taxonomic and thematic. Taxonomic items were selected from the teacher's edition of the fourthgrade Achievement Goals Program reading curriculum. The Achievement Goals Program is the San Diego school district's version of the Ginn 720 Series curriculum (San Diego City Schools, 1982). The fourth-grade level Ginn 720 Series' primer is used in the regular classroom reading instruction along with the teacher's guide and student manuals (Clymer, Gates, & McCullough, 1976). In addition, a set of word warm-up exercises, required by the district to give students additional vocabulary instruction, was used to select the word corpus (San Diego City Schools, 1982).

The taxonomic word corpus was sorted into 16 categories with at least 7 items in each. Categories that needed 3 or fewer additional items to form a total of 10 words were completed by selecting fourth grade level items from the Dale and Eichholz (1960) word frequency

lists. Twelve of the categories were selected as instructional items (6 for the taxonomic and 6 for the mixed condition). A high frequency single word category label was generated for each of the 6 lists in each list type. All taxonomic word items and category labels were nouns. Table 2 shows the 60 words chosen for the lists. Table 3 shows the 30 taxonomic items used in the mixed list, along with 30 thematic items. Selection of thematic items is discussed below.

Thematic word lists and the other half of the items in each mixed list were derived from the previous work of Vaughn (1982) discussed above. Each 10 word list was selected so that each item instantiated some 'prop' or role in a common event or activity, such as 'dining out'. In addition, these words were considered unfamiliar to fourth graders. Some of the items in the lists had to be replaced by a low frequency synonym word in order to achieve a low level of familiarity for these words. For example, the word 'instruct' has a frequency of 91% on the Dale and Eichholz word frequency list for fourth grade vocabulary knowledge, whereas its synonym 'lecture' has a 37% sixth grade frequency score. Therefore, 'lecture' would be a suitable replacement for 'instruct' under these conditions. Dale and Eichholz word frequency lists were used to select synonyms. The 60 nouns chosen for thematic lists are shown in Table 4 and mixed list thematic items are shown in Table 3. Each noun is paired with a verb.

Verbs were chosen to form verb-noun pairs that would emphasize the actions each thematic item is to emphasize. Category labels were familiar nouns paired with a verb. An exemplar of the category label 'dining out' might be 'use utensils', where 'utensils' is the unfamiliar

Table 2

Taxonomic List Stimuli

Category Label	Category W	ord Items
Clothing	Shawl Galoshes Fez Turban Blouse	Cape Breeches Burnoose Bloomers Sweater
Trees	Elm Birch Sequoia Banyan Eucalyptus	Mulberry Cottonwood Tupelo Hickory Ponderosa
² eint Room Flowers	Marigold Daffodil Chrysanthemum	Hepatica Carnation Hollyhock
riowers	Thistle Fuchsia	Snapdragon Primrose
Animals	Condor Mongoose Killdeer Dingo Sloth	Drake Mammoth Barnacle Bandicoot Gerbil
Drive Car Jobs	Paleontologist Archaeologist Lawyer Pianist Peddler	Geologist Investigator Jeweler Psychologist Commander
fisit Doctor Places to Live	Dormitory Studio Mansion Hostel Berth	Lair Domicile Chamber Suite Refuge

Table 3

Mixed List Stimuli

Category Label	Category Word Items	
Make Clothes	Tailor Homemaker Designer Seamstress Couturier	Pick Pattern Lay Fabric Cut Material Sew Garment Set Hem
Mail Gift So to Movies	Messenger Postmaster Clerk Mailman Courier	Use Adhesive Write Adress Send Package Pay Postage Find Container
Paint Room	Handyman Painter Carpenter Custodian Decorator	Cover Woodwork Move Furniture Get Scaffold Dip Bristles Use Turpentine
Make News Dining Out	Journalist Monger Correspondent Reporter Publisher	Write Article Use Typewriter Set Composition Write Headline To Publication
Drive Car	Valet Cabdriver Chauffeur Coachperson Transporter	Get Passengers Check Mirrors Turn Ignition Start Engine Shift Gears
Visit Doctor	Nurse Physician Practitioner Radiologist Therapist	Greet Patient To Examination Give Medicine Push Wheelchair Give Injection

instructional item and the word Table 4 presented to emphasize the

thematic structure of the list

Thematic List Stimuli

Category Label Category List

Washing Dishes	Dry Salver	Remove Scraps Fill Basin Stack Saucers Run Disposal Get Sponge
Go to Movies	Read Newspaper Find Theatre Pass Usher Buy Concession See Characters	Find Schedule Buy Ticket Enter Foyer See Previews Read Credits
Waking Up	Remove Pajamas Use Shampoo Use Comb	Enter Bathroom Take Shower Use Toothbrush Get Robe Find Attire
Dining Out	See Hostess See Menu Order Meal Pay Check Tip Waiter	Find Booth Order Beverage Order Desert Pay Receipt Get Entree
Making Pictures	Get Camera Load Film Find Image Set Exposure Get Pnotograph	Get Tripod Remove Lenscap Set Focus Make Negative Use Flashbulb
At School 400 (Stendard (Stendard))	Read Primer	Get Notebook Use Pencil See Blackboad Say Pledge Do Mathematics

· ·

instructional item and the verb 'use' is presented to emphasize the thematic structure of the list.

Each mixed list was made up of 5 taxonomic and 5 thematic items as described above. Category labels for each list were developed at the same time as taxonomic and thematic lists. Taxonomic items for mixed lists were single word, low frequency nouns. All thematic items for the mixed lists and their category labels were made up of verb and noun phrases. The nouns for the mixed items were also low frequency.

Criterion measures. A standard free recall test was employed, along with four pretest-posttest vocabulary knowledge transfer tests. Examples of each of the four pretest-posttest measures can be found in Figure 2. Two of the tests, spelling and vocabulary discrimination, consisted of 20 multiple choices, presented in a cloze sentence format, each with 4 alternatives. The three distractors for each test item in the spelling test were phonetically related to the correct choice. Distractors in the vocabulary discrimination test were taken from three categories different from that of the correct choice, but of the same semantic list type. The third test was an open-ended test; it also used a cloze sentence format, but did not include multiple choices. Subjects were required to fill in the sentence blanks with any word that they thought was appropriate to complete each sentence. The three cloze sentence tests were considered to be tests of sentence level comprehension (Stahl, 1983; Weaver, 1979) and understanding of vocabulary use in context (Stahl, 1983; Gipe, 1979; Johnson & Stratton, 1966). A fourth test, vocabulary definition, used a multiple choice

Spelling Test Items 1. The moved slowly under water to another rock. a. barnacle b. barnacle c. barnakler d. barnackel 2. The airplane's arrival was more than two hours off a. schedual b. skedual c. schedule d. skedule Vocabulary Discrimination Test Items 1. The girl drank her before eating dinner. a. camera b. beverage c. lecture d. character 2. The tried to keep the man out of jail. a. lawyer b. dingo c. birch d. galoshes Definition Test Items 1. chrysanthemum and a static best from prevent to postbest. a. flower with many colors b. a large place to live c. plays music d. an ancient shaggy animal sts ware presented on an Appla microcomputer. A 2. theatre a. cover for box used to make pictures b. a pad used for scrubbing dishes c. room you take bath in d. a place you go to see movies pencil test, requiring subjects to fill in the Open-ended Test Items 1. The hood on the kept my head warm. (burnoose) 2. The of the little boy was used to find him. (photograph) Figure 2. Examples of Spelling, Vocabulary Discrimination, Definition,

and Open-ended Vocabulary Knowledge Test Items.

format. Each test item consisted of an instructional word as the stem, followed by 4 definitional choices. The distractors were definitions of words from three other categories of the same semantic list type.

There were 3 alternative forms of each of the four tests. One sentence was constructed for each of the 10 word items in each 6 list category, totaling 60 sentences for each semantic list type. Twenty sentences were selected for each alternative form. Test items were balanced with respect to category and list item representation. Each alternative form was presented once either as a pretest or posttest. The tests were used in conjunction with the definition measure to assess the different effects of the treatments on lexical organization and semantic memory. The different forms were used to present subjects with a different, yet highly similar test, from pretest to posttest.

Response Recording. The spelling, vocabulary discrimination, and definition tests were presented on an Apple microcomputer. A microcomputer multiple choice test was developed to present the questions and record response accuracy. The open-ended cloze sentence test was a paper and pencil test, requiring subjects to fill in the blank in each sentence. Free recall data were written down by the experimenter on a recording sheet.

Design

The experiment consisted of a 2X3X2 repeated measures factorial design: Instructional groups (speeded, nonspeeded, control), Semantic List Type (taxonomic, thematic, a mix of taxonomic and thematic) and Test Interval (pretest, posttest). The instructional group factor was manipulated between-subjects. Semantic List Type and Test Interval were with-in subjects factors.

Procedures Econolise Level Speeded NonSpeeded Control

Subjects were randomly assigned to one of two experimental groups (speeded or nonspeeded) or the control. The experimental groups received all three semantic list types (taxonomic, thematic, & mixed) in different, counterbalanced orders. The 6 categories, making up each semantic list type, were presented together and subjects were required to reach criterion before the next semantic list was introduced. Subjects in the control condition were not presented the semantic lists. The pattern of the instruction over the three instructional cycles is shown in Figure 3.

Subjects are given pretests for the first semantic list (taxonomic, thematic or mixed) prior to the instruction. Following pretesting, subjects were given a number version of the Rescue task. The number task provided subjects with practice in coordinating the keys and the cognitive demands of the task. Six lists of numbers were selected for this task. For example, there was a 1's list made up of 10 identical six digit string items (i.e., 11111). The other number lists, consisting of 2's up to 6's were constructed in the same way, totaling 6 categories. This number task was a recognition task in which subjects were rescue identical string pairs (e.g., 111111 and 111111) and shoot the spaceship when different string pairs were presented (e.g., 111111 and 222222). Subjects were required to practice this task until they reached a score of 17500 or higher for a single trial. This

Exercise Level Speeded NonSpeeded Control Pretest No Rescue Yes Yes Yes Level 0 Yes Yes Yes Level 1 Yes Yes No Level 2 No Yes Yes Level 3 Yes Yes No Cycle1 Rescue Level 4 Yes Yes No Level 5 Yes Yes No Level 6 Yes Yes No Postest No Rescue Yes Yes Yes Pretest No Rescue Yes Yes Yes Level 0 Yes Yes Yes Level 1 Yes Yes No Level 2 Yes Yes No Level 3 Yes Yes No Cycle2 Rescue Level 4 Yes No Yes Level 5 Yes Yes No Level 6 Yes Yes No Yes Postest No Rescue Yes Yes Pretest No Rescue Yes Yes Yes Level 0 Yes Yes Yes Level 1 Yes Yes No Level 2 Yes Yes No Level 3 Yes Yes No Cycle3 Cycle3 Level 4 Yes Yes No Level 5 Yes Yes No Level 6 Yes Yes No No Rescue Yes Postest Yes Yes

score of higher were assured to be highly Instructional Group

score was derived on the basis of pilot work. Subjects who attained this

Figure 3. Pattern of Instruction Across Cycles.

score was derived on the basis of pilot work. Subjects who attained this score or higher were assumed to be highly accurate and fluent in the task.

tich began the next instructional cycle. The runbers task was alighti

The instructional cycles began after subjects met criterion on the numbers task. Each cycle is best described by 6 levels within the Rescue task. For the sake of clarification, the taxonomic semantic list type will be used to show how the 6 categories in that list type were introduced into the task. Taxonomic list categories included Jobs, Clothing, Trees, Places to Live, Animals, and Flowers (see Table 2). There are 10 words that fell under each of these 6 category labels (see Table 2). In Level 1, one of the 6 lists in the number task was randomly selected and replaced by one of the six taxonomic categories, also chosen at random (e.g., Trees). This new category in the Rescue changed it from a digit recognition task to word classification. When subjects reached the criterion score on this version at this level, they moved to Level 2 where another taxonomic list was added to the task (e.g., Animals). Replacement of number lists by the remaining taxonomic word lists continued in this way until Level 6, at which point all 6 taxonomic lists had replaced the number categories. Once the classification task replaced the number task entirely, subjects were required to continue until they reached criterion (i.e., 17500) on three trials, without changing levels. The three trials did not have to be consecutive. Once this new criterion was met, the instructional cycle was complete.

Before the next instructional cycle, subjects were given their pretests for one of the two remaining semantic lists (e.g., thematic). They were introduced to a different semantic list after pretesting, which began the next instructional cycle. The numbers task was slightly different in this and the subsequent cycle. Subjects had learned the basic structure of the task, thus obviating the need for the numbers task in its entirety. In order to introduce each semantic list type in a systematic way, some aspects of the numbers task were retained. The first semantic category list was immediately introduced in place of one of the numbers category. Once subjects learned the words in this new semantic list, the third and final instructional cycle (i.e., the introduction of the third semantic list type) was presented in the same way.

controlled for two sources of variations that significantly differe

Treatment consisted of daily lessons that lasted from 30 to 40 minutes on the average. All lessons were taught by the investigator to control for teacher effects. Pretesting occurred before an instructional cycle. Before subjects were introduced to the words in a semantic list, they were given the pretests. Posttests were given after subjects completed each instructional cycle. All subjects were given the free recall task first and on the same day they completed the cycle. The other four posttests followed one at a time and in a balanced order. Testing was administered by the experimenter.